21 September 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Secretary, ORR/CSB

SUBJECT:

The Agency Promotion System

REFERENCE:

Your memorandum dated 19 September 1960, Subject:

Review of Single Grade Promotion Policy

- promotion system. It has been used to advantage in all three divisions in grades GS-7 through GS-11. Advancement of one step at a time in the junior professional grades has provided a more realistic development and progression pattern than the two-grade promotion system. The one grade step provides a consistency downward from the one grade steps used from GS-11 through GS-15. At the time of the most rapid development in an individual's professional capacities, the one-grade promotion system requires more frequent assessment and permits earlier recognition by advancement than would the two-grade promotion system. It has also proven easier for the supervisor to make judgments concerning promotion in terms of one-grade increments rather than the former two-grade increments.
- 2. We would also favor retaining a 12-month time-in-grade minimum for all advancements from CS-7 through CS-11. And to equalize the monetary discrepancies between grades 7 through 11, and 12 through 15, we recommend that the minimum time-in-grade for grades 12 and above be 24 months.
- 3. If you will note the basic pay scale table, the differences between grades 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, etc. amount to \$1,000 and over, whereas the differences between grades 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 emount to approximately \$500. Thus we feel a more reasonable relationship of minimum time-in-grade to mometary differences can be achieved by raising the time-in-grade requirements for grades 12 and above as suggested in the preceding paragraph.
- 8 and 10, especially in Section organizations where the supervisory level is pegged at a GB-9 or 11. Grades 8 and 10 can be used effectively for a deputy, thus giving tangible recognition to a qualified employee.

## Approved For Release 2001/0 128 129 H00 200314R000100020051-5

SUBJECT: The Agency Promotion System

5. We would like to point out that Agency adherence to the single-grade promotion system might be at odds with promotion systems in other parts of the government, and could put the Agency at a dissiduantage in recruiting and holding individuals who might at times seem to suffer a temporary disadvantage because of discrepancies in the several systems. This, however, should tend to equalize itself in practice over a period of time, so that our more advanced grade-level personnel are doing comparable work for comparable pay with people in other parts of the government after an equivalent period of service.



Distribution:

O&4 -- Addressee

2 -- Ch/G

ORR:Ch/G:JAB:jmc/4797 (21 September 1960)